ORIGINAL



GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC P.O. Box 1388 Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388 (928) 226-8333

RECEIVED

2007 JUL 24 A 10: 58

John G. Gliege (#003644) Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465) Attorneys for the Complainants

AZ CORP COMMUNICATION DOCKET CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST,

and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL,

husband and wife Complainants,

 $\|_{\mathbf{v}}$

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent..

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RAY PUGEL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUL 24 2007

DOCKETED BY

ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP. Complainants,

V.

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona

Corporation

Respondent.

DOCKET NO.W-03512A-06-0613

JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST,

Complainants,

V.

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0100

28 29

1

32 C

Did either of those letters guarantee that if you invested the money to do all the work necessary 1 that you would with certainty obtain approval from the Arizona Corporation Commission and be 2 allowed to make the connection to the Pine Water Company water system? 3 NO 4 If at the end of today your property was excluded from the CC&N of Pine Water Company would 5 you be able to provide it water service? 6 YES 7 If at the end of today your property was not excluded from the CC&N of Pine Water company 8 would they be able to provide it water service? 9 NO 10 11 Mr. Hardcastle indicates that there is a need for regulation of the provision of domestic water service to protect the public. Do you believe that Pine Water Company is providing adequate 12 service to the property owners in Pine? 13 No 14 DOES PINE WATER COMPANY PROVIDE SERVICE TO CUSTOMERS IN THE VICINITY 15 **OF YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, WHERE?** 16 Pine Water Company presently provides water service to properties on three sides of the property we 17 have proposed for use as an RV park. The property we have proposed for use as Condos has a main 18 water line running down the street and customers across the street from it. 19 MR. HARDCASTLE ON PAGE 2 LINE 24 OF HIS TESTIMONY INDICATES THAT YOU ARE ASKING FOR SPECIAL TREATMENT; IS THAT TRUE? 20 21 We do not want special treatment, we want to be like Portal 4, Solitude Trails, Strawberry Hollow and the Downtown water system who have no shortages and superior infrastructure with fire hydrants. 22 23 HAS ANYONE FROM PINE WATER COMPANY APPROACHED YOU REGARDING SELLING THE WATER IN THE MILK RANCH WELL TO PINE WATER COMPANY? 24 25 No. 26 HAVE YOU EVER ENGAGED IN DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. HARDCASTLE PERTAINING 27 TO WORKING COOPERATIVELY WITH PINE WATER COMPANY?

28

Yes, Mr. Hardcastle informed me one time that he prefers to work in an adversarial and confrontational 1 manner rather than a cooperative manner and I found that to be both distasteful and an unprofessional approach. 3 Other than the Will Serve letters tendered upon you by Pine Water Company, has anyone from 4 Pine Water Company sought to meet with you concerning using the water from the Milk Ranch LLC Well to provide water to you? 5 6 No 7 HAVE YOU EVER PUBLICLY STATED THAT THERE WOULD BE NO CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER WITHIN THE PROPERTY YOU ARE SEEKING TO 8 DELETE FROM THE PINE WATER COMPANY CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND 9 **NECESSITY?** 10 NO 11 DO YOU DISAGREE WITH MR. HARDCASTLE'S STATEMENT ON PAGE 4 LINES 2 12 THROUGH 8 CONCERNING WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE SUFFICIENT LONG TERM 13 SUSTAINABLE SUPPLIES OF WATER. 14 Yes, we have performed all tests to comply with the Arizona Department of Water Resources requirements to get a 100 year adequacy for this well. 15 16 HAVE YOU WITHHELD ANY INFORMATION FROM PINE WATER COMPANY? 17 No, that is a biased, false and misleading statement on the part of Mr. Hardcastle. We have provided 18 him with all the information that we have. Statements such as that contribute to the reason that we are unwilling to deal with Pine Water Company. 19 20 HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE PLAN SUBMITTED BY PINE WATER COMPANY TO THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION? 21 Yes 22 23 WHAT WAS PINE WATER COMPANY'S SOLUTION TO THE WATER PROBLEM? 24 Hauling water. 25 MR. HARDCASTLE ON PAGE 8 LINE 18 STATES THAT THE MILK RANCH LLC WELL IS 26

THE FIRST KNOW DEEP WELL DRILLED IN PINE. IS THAT TRUE?

No, it is the second deep well, the SH3 LLC well was drilled first.

27

28

HAVE YOU SEEN ANYTHING IN WRITING FROM PINE WATER COMPANY WHICH INDICATES THAT THEY WOULD PURCHASE THE WATER FROM YOUR WELL WHICH THEY ARE ASKING THAT YOU DONATE TO THEM?

NO

IF YOU MADE A CONTRIBUTION IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION AND IT WAS TO PROVIDE YOU A RETURN OF 10% OF THE REVENUES FOR TEN YEARS EARNED OFF THE PROPERTY YOU DONATED, AND IF AT THE END OF THE TEN YEARS YOU HAD BEEN REPAID LESS THAT THE FULL VALUE OF THE PROPERTY, BUT THE PROPERTY WOULD THEN BE CATAGORIZED AS A CONTRIBUTION TO CONSTRUCTION, OR A GIFT TO PINE WATER COMPANY WOULD YOU BE SATISFIED?

No

WHY NOT?

Because then Pine Water Company would be effectively taking my property for public use without compensating me for the value of what was taken.

DO YOU BELIEVE THAT PINE WATER COMPANY HAS A TENUOUS SUPPLY OF WATER?

Yes, I do. Pine Water Company relies upon a number of terminable well sharing agreements to provide water service in Pine. Further, it relies upon that fact that many of the homes are second homes and use minimal water. The well sharing agreements can be terminated, thus leaving Pine Water Company without a source of water. Once the persons who own homes as second homes make them their primary residence this will impact the demand for water and exacerbate the existing shortages.

MR. HARDCASTLE, IN HIS TESTIMONY INDICATES THAT HE LACKS SUFFICIENT SUPPLY OF WATER TO SUPPORT MORE STORAGE AT THIS TIME. HE THEN POINTS OUT THE K2 PROJECT AS PROVIDING MORE SUPPLY. DO THOSE STATEMENTS APPEAR TO BE CONTRADICTORY?

Yes.

OTHER THAN SENDING YOU TWO "WILL SERVE" LETTERS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE HAS PINE WATER COMPANY APPROACHED YOU TO TRY TO WORK WITH THEM TO RESOLVE THESE PROBLEMS?

No, I am still waiting for the telephone call.

DO YOU BELIEVE THAT WATER MAINS HAVE TO BE EXTENDED BY PINE WATER COMPANY TO SERVE YOUR PROPERTY?

No, water lines are to the property at this time, an extension is not needed.

DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MILK RANCH WELL AS BEING IN AN ISOLATED PART OF PINE WATER COMPANY'S CC&N BY MR. HARDCASTLE IS CORRECT?

No. the Milk Ranch Well is located in the center of the CC&N.

IS MR. HARDCASTLE CORRECT ON PAGE 23 LINE 23 WHERE HE STATES THAT "THE DEVELOPERS DO NOT BELIEVE THEY SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO ANY SORT OF CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS" and PAGE 24 LINES 1 THROUGH 4 WHERE HE STATES THAT "THESE DEVELOPERS WANT TO PROCEED, UNRESTRAINED, TO DEVELOP THEIR PROPERTIES AND THEY DO NOT WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN COMMUNITY WIDE CONSERVATION EFFORTS"?

No, Mr. Hardcastle once again is provided biased, false misinformation. This is not a belief which is held. It appears that Mr. Hardcastle is resorting to slanderous attacks on our personal character to advance his defense in this instance, which is another reason why we would not like to be forced to have to do business with him.

YOU ALSO READ AND REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY OF MR. NOEL?

YES

ON PAGE 5 LINES 6 THROUGH 10 MR. NOEL DISCUSSES PER CAPITA OR PER CUSTOMER WATER USE. DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS STATEMENTS?

Mr. Noel does not appear to have taken into account whether a customer is a permanent occupant or a seasonal occupant of their property. That would make a difference in the amount of water which a person uses.

ARE SEPTIC SYSTEMS THE ONLY MEANS OF SEWAGE TREATMENT AVAILABLE FOR RETURNING WATER OF A SUITABLE QUANTITY TO THE GROUNDWATER AQUIFERS AS NOTED BY MR. NOEL ON PAGE 10 LINES 3 THROUGH 9?

No there are other means of sewage disposal which would place high quality effluent back into the aquifer which can be required by the county for new developments. Like Mr. Hardcastle, Mr. Noel is making a biased misstatement by which it appears he is hoping to propagandize the reader of his testimony.

YOU REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY OF MR. HARDCASTLE AND MR. NOEL?

YES

- | |

WAS THERE ANYTHING PRESENTED WHICH INDICATED THAT PINE WATER COMPANY IS ABLE TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WATER SERVICE TO YOUR PARCELS OF PROPERTY WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS PROCEEDING AT REASONABLE RATES?

NO

1	Original and 19 copies mailed/delivered
2	This 23 rd day of July, 2007 to:
3	Arizona Corporation Commission Attn: Docket Control
4	1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	
6	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This 23 rd day of July, 2007 to:
7	Kevin O. Torrey Attorney, Legal Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	ktorrey@azcc.gov
11	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division
Ì	Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 W. Washington Street
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division
15	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	Jay L. Shapiro
10	Fennemore Craig 3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600
18	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
19	JSHAPIRO@fclaw.com
20	David W. Davis, ESQ.
21	Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C. 3101 N. Central, Suite 1300
22	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2643 ddavis@tsc-law.com
23	
	Robert M. Cassaro PO Box 1522
24	Pine, AZ 85544
25	William F. Haney
26	3018 E. Mallory St. Mesa, AZ 85213
27	
28	Barbara Hall PO Box 2198
20	Ding A7 85544